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\textbf{\textalpha{}-stable Interference Modeling and Cauchy Receiver for an IR-UWB \textit{ad hoc} Network}

Hamza El Ghannudi, Laurent Clavier, \textit{Member, IEEE}, Nourddine Azzaoui, François Septier \textit{Member, IEEE}, and Paul-Alain Rolland

\textbf{Abstract}—In this paper we investigate \textit{ad hoc} networks based on impulse radio ultra wideband. Due to multiple access, the interference distribution is not Gaussian. One important reason for errors is the presence of close interferers generating pulse collision. However such events are rare and we propose an \textalpha{}-stable model compatible with this fact due to its heavy tailed distribution. We derive the analytical expression of the two significant parameters. They depend on the attenuation coefficient, the users’ density, the pulse collision probability and the pulse shape. We finally propose receiver strategies (Cauchy receiver and \textit{p} norm) that outperforms the classical Gaussian receiver.

\textbf{Index Terms}—\textit{Multiple Access Interference (MAI)}, UWB, \textit{ad hoc} networks, \textalpha{}-stable distribution.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

In dense \textit{ad hoc} networks, multiple access is the main component of interference. In other contexts and systems, it is considered to be the sum of numerous independent and identically distributed random variables. As a consequence, a Gaussian approximation is used and often gives accurate results. However, the \textit{ad hoc} configuration modifies the MAI distribution: the interfering pulses amplitude may importantly vary and the MAI is conditioned by the presence of strong interferers \cite{1}–\cite{3}. Sousa shows in \cite{4} that it can be modeled as an \textalpha{}-stable random variable. This result is also presented with lognormal shadowing and Rayleigh fast fading in \cite{5}. In \cite{6}, Pinto derives an exact expression for the error performance of a narrowband communication system subject to multiple UWB interferers and additive white Gaussian noise. A framework where the UWB interferers are spatially scattered according to an infinite Poisson field and are operating asynchronously is introduced. A symmetric stable distribution to model the UWB interference at the output of a linear narrowband receiver is used. Win \textit{et al.} have recently proposed a mathematical framework for network interference in \cite{7}. They show that, when interferers are scattered according to a Poisson process, the aggregate interference amplitude follows a symmetric stable distribution.

Similarly for the proposed physical layer, it is shown in \cite{8} that the Gaussian approximation significantly underestimates the Bit Error Rate (BER) of practical Time Hopping (TH) Pulse Position Modulation (PPM) systems. Its validity increases with the number of interferers \cite{1} but do not adequately predict the BER at low values of the user bit rate and of the pulse repetition frequency \cite{2}. A way to mitigate the effect of the MAI by optimizing the TH codes in asynchronous TH is proposed in \cite{9} but only works because interference is not Gaussian. To estimate the BER of an IR-UWB multiuser transmission, several other methods have been proposed: in \cite{10} a composite method is used and in \cite{11} a method based on the characteristic function; in \cite{12}, the calculation is based on the fact that interference is due to collisions occurring between pulses belonging to different transmissions.

We suggest in this paper that the \textit{ad hoc} configuration gives the shape of the MAI distribution which is then accurately represented by symmetric \textalpha{}-stable distributions. Stable laws can be seen as an extension of the Gaussian laws but with heavier tails so that they are better suited for rare events modeling. Two parameters have to be determined and are obtained from the channel attenuation and the physical layer definition. In a first step, we develop an analytical work based on a single path channel. One intended application is for up-converted UWB signals in the 60 GHz band with sensors using sectorized antennas \cite{13}, \cite{14}. In such a context, the multipath influence is low and, especially in an \textit{ad hoc} scenario with rather short links, the reflected paths only carry little energy compared to the direct one \cite{15}. The proposed theoretical approach is then well adapted. We derive the analytical expression of the two parameters and show the validity of the model.

We then go one step further to validate our approach and consider the residential IEEE 802.15.4a UWB channel model. Time-hopping impulse radio with a simple multiple-access scheme like an ALOHA protocol, is suitable for sensor networks design \cite{16}, \cite{17}. We propose different receiver schemes that take into account the stable noise. We show that a Cauchy receiver (although it is not generally optimal) or
the use of the p-norm (as proposed in [18] for a generalized Gaussian interference model) significantly improve the system performance although the important multipath tend to reduce the benefit. However, the classical Gaussian receiver is outperformed and we show (a) that our model is adequate for MAI and (b) that taking this fact into account allows a better design of the receivers.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section II we present the network configuration and the system model. In section III, with a single path channel and ignoring the near field in the attenuation calculation (this means that when the transmitter receiver distance gets close to zero, the receive power increases to infinity; although unrealistic, this assumption has no significant impact on our conclusions), we show that the MAI is an α-stable random variable and derive the parameters’ expressions. Finally, in section IV, we propose receiver architectures adapted to the proposed interference model and evaluate its performance in Gaussian and multipath channels.

II. NETWORK CONFIGURATION AND SYSTEM MODEL

An ad hoc network is a self-configuring network of mobile devices connected by wireless links. We consider throughout this paper a MAC layer based on an Aloha protocol that can have close performance to a CSMA protocol as shown in [16] for a multihop mobile wireless network. This means that no special effort is made to prevent simultaneous neighboring transmissions from occurring.

We consider a receiver located at the center of a circle C of radius R. The desired user (who will be denoted by index 0 in the following) is at a given distance d_u from the receiver and interferers are uniformly distributed in C. Let N denote the number of interferers in the area. It depends on the users’ density, the maximum range for a signal to be received and the traffic in the network.

Asynchronous TH-PPM-UWB with binary data is considered [19] and represented in Figure 1. Let ω(t) be the transmitted signal corresponding to one source bit. It has a normalized energy equal to 1 and it is the repetition of N_S basic pulses ω_p(t):  

$$ω(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_S} ω_p \left( t - jT_S - c_j^{(k)}T_c \right) , \quad (1)$$

where T_S is the frame duration, c_j^{(k)} is the j\(^{th}\) coefficient of the pseudo-random TH code and T_c is the slot duration. The transmitted signal for user k is then given by:  

$$S^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{i=\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{E^{(k)}(t)} ω \left( t - iN_S T_S - a_i^{(k)} + δ^{(k)} \right) \quad (2)$$

where E^{(k)}(t) is the transmitted energy for each pulse, a_i^{(k)} is a binary data value, ϵ is the basic shift introduced by PPM and δ^{(k)} the delay between user k and user 0.

We adopt a single user correlation receiver where the received signal is correlated with a template waveform m(t) = ω(t)−ω(t−c) after a perfect time synchronization on the main path. Without loss of generality, we consider the detection of the source bit a_0(0). The receiver output Z_d is:

$$Z_d = \sum_{k=0}^{N_S} \int_0^{N_S T_S} \left( S^{(k)}(t) \ast h^{(k)}(t) + n(t) \right) m(t) dt , \quad (3)$$

where * stands for convolution, h^{(k)} is the channel impulse response and n(t) is the thermal noise, circularly symmetric white and Gaussian.

Variable Z_d can be expressed as the sum of four terms [20]:  

$$Z_d = Z_u + Z_MPI + Z + Z_n ,$$

where Z_u, Z_MPI, Z and Z_n are, respectively, the contributions of the desired signal, the multipath interference, the multiuser interference and the additive white Gaussian noise. If a Gaussian assumption is made for the global interference, the optimal decision is taken by comparing Z_d with a zero-valued threshold. For independent and equiprobable transmitted bits, the average BER is  

$$P_e = \mathbb{P} \left( Z_d < 0 \mid a_0(0) = 0 \right) \quad (4)$$

where P denotes the probability of event X.

In the next section, to compute the semi-analytical error probability, we neglect the multipath effect (Z_MPI = 0). Equation (3) then becomes:

$$Z_d = \sum_{k=0}^{N_S} γ_k \int_0^{N_S T_S} \left( S^{(k)}(t-τ_k) + n(t) \right) m(t) dt , \quad (4)$$

where γ_k is the channel attenuation and τ_k the propagation delay. In ad hoc networks, links are short and direct, which means that multipath carry little energy, especially in the millimeter wave band [15]. We present in Figure 2 the impact of the multipath in our considered scenario: the channel model [21] is obtained from a measurement campaign in a small room presented in [22]. Directive antennas (100° at the emitter and 30° at the receiver) are used to further reduce the multipath influence. Multipath have in this context a very limited impact. Consequently, in section III, we take a single path channel to derive the MAI distribution. However, we will also show in section IV that the proposed model is still appropriate in multipath channels and can lead to significant improvement of the receiver design.

Finally, we denote X = Z_u + Z_n; X is a Gaussian random variable with mean Z_u and same variance as the Gaussian noise Z_n. Let f_X(x) be its probability density function. The mean BER P_e is:

$$P_e = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P} \left( Z < -x \mid a_0(0) = 0, Z_n \right) f_X(x) dx \quad (5)$$
III. Modeling Multiple Access Interference

A. General idea

At the receiver, we develop (3) with single path channels. We only consider pulses that effectively interfere with the useful signal. Let $\kappa_R$ be the random variable representing their number. The MAI random variable $Z$ can then be written as:

$$Z = \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_R} \gamma_k \psi_k,$$

(6)

where $\Delta_k$ is the total delay between the interfering and the reference signals. The random variable $\Delta_k$ is uniformly distributed over $[-T_S, T_S]$ due to asynchronous transmission and independence between desired and interfering links. In (6), $\psi_k, k=1,\ldots,N$ are independent, identically distributed and bounded random variables. Their probability density function is even. The $(\gamma_k)_{k=1,\ldots,N}$ are positive, independent identically distributed random variables and determine the statistical properties of $Z$. Let now suppose that we are placed in a dense traffic: $\kappa_R$ is very large. Since $(\gamma_k)_{k=1,\ldots,N}$ have finite variances, it is the same for the products $(\gamma_k \psi_k)_{k=1,\ldots,N_R}$.

One intuitive approach, considering an asymptotic regime where the number of interferers grows to infinity while the contribution of each interferer to the total MAI becomes infinitesimal, would be to use the central limit theorem. The MAI, then expressed as the normalized sum:

$$Z^{(\kappa_R)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_R} \gamma_k \psi_k,$$

converges in law to a normal distribution with the same variance as $\gamma_k \psi_k$. This means that $Z^{(\kappa_R)}$ is asymptotically Gaussian and by the sequel may be approximated with a normal distribution when $\kappa_R$ is large enough.

However, in the general case, the asymptotic regime is not easily reached. An in-depth study is proposed in [23] and only a large number of users, a large processing gain and a large number of repetitions lead to a Gaussian MAI. Besides, we can discuss the condition of finite variance on $\gamma_k$. If it can seem natural because it represents a channel attenuation, the interference is compared to the desired link attenuation $\gamma_0$. If this link is long but the interfering one is short, the observed relative values of $\gamma_k$ may be "very large". These rare events are very important in our context and give an impulsive nature to attenuations. To capture these situations, heavy tailed distributions with infinite variance can be well suited while models with finite second order will fail to do so. The generalized central limit theorem has then to be used (see [24, p. 22] or [25, p. 9]) and states that the MAI (for large $\kappa_R$) falls in the domain of attraction of a random variable with a stable distribution.

This infinite variance hypothesis is equivalent to neglecting the near field when calculating the amplitude attenuation of the signal $\gamma_k$. We suppose that it is given by $\gamma_k = d_k^{-\alpha/2}$, $\alpha$ being the attenuation coefficient and $d_k$ the distance from interferer $k$. If interferers are uniformly distributed inside the circle $C$ of radius $R$, the attenuation probability density function is:

$$f_{\gamma_k}(x) = \frac{4\pi x^{-\alpha-1}}{a R^2} \quad \text{for} \quad R^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq x < +\infty.$$

Its variance is infinite for $\alpha > 2$. Even if this hypothesis does not correspond to reality, it is an accurate way to represent the high variability in $\gamma_k$ and the fact that there are many far users with small $\gamma_k$ but few close ones with high $\gamma_k$.

We have mainly focused here on the impact of ad hoc configuration on the MAI. Other reason due to impulse UWB are also invalidating the Gaussian approximation: the fact that the sum is not normalized or that the number of interfering pulses is not always large and is better modeled with a discrete random variable. As it is usually considered in similar situations [4], [6], one can assume that $\kappa_R$ has a Poisson distribution. For a discussion about these considerations, an overview of proposed modeling solutions (Laplace, Generalized Gaussian, Cauchy, $\alpha$-stable, Middleton class A...) is proposed in [26] and we do not extend the discussion here. In this paper, using the theory of compounded Poisson processes we derive in section III-C that the random variable $Z$ can be modeled with an $\alpha$-stable law when the near field is neglected. Consequence of the ad hoc configuration, this result is valid for DS-CDMA and FH-CDMA [4] and extended in this paper to TH-PPM-UWB.

B. The $\alpha$-stable random variables.

Stable distributions are a rich class of probability distributions that include the Gaussian ($\alpha = 2$), Cauchy ($\alpha = 1$) and Lévy ($\alpha = 0.5$) laws in a family that allows skewness and heavy tails. There are several ways to define stable distributions [25, chapter 1]. One concerns the stability property: the family of stable distributions is preserved under convolution. Another is that they approximate the distribution of normalized sums of independent and identically distributed random variables (generalized central limit theorem).

1 If we do not neglect the near field, for $d$ less than a given distance $d_0$, the received power would not follow the same law as the one we use. A better model would be to then consider that $\gamma_k = d_0^{-\alpha/2} \forall d < d_0$. 

![Fig. 2. Influence of multipaths in our 60 GHz line of sight scenario. There is a mean of 35 objects in a circle of radius $R = 5m$.](Image)
The class of stable distributions has provided useful models in many application fields (electrical engineering, physics, signal processing...) but they still have a limited impact in digital communication although they proved to be accurate for modeling impulsive noises [24, chapter 9]. Of course, other heavy tailed probability models are available but the stability property makes this class very attractive. Besides they are defined by four parameters (some more details can be found in appendix A), the characteristic exponent $\alpha$ being one of them: it measures the thickness of the tail of the distribution ($0 < \alpha \leq 2$). However, in the general case, no closed-form expression of the probability density function is available which makes more difficult the implementation of optimal signal processing solutions.

C. The MAI log-characteristic function

The MAI random variable has a symmetric $\alpha$-stable distribution if we can write its log-characteristic function as $\varphi_Z(w) = -\sigma|w|^\alpha$. For the theoretical framework we consider in a first step that interferers are in a radius of circle $R$, the receiver being the center and we make $R$ tends towards infinity. We assume that the number $N$ of active interfering links follows a Poisson law of parameter $\frac{N}{\pi R^2}$ which represents the mean number of interferers per unit area, $N$ being the mean number of interferers. For a given $N$, the conditional law of the number $\kappa_R$ of interfering pulses coming from inside the circle $C$ is binomial $B(N,q)$, where $q$ is the probability that one interfering pulse falls into the integration interval at the receiver. Due to the uniform distribution of the delays $\Delta_k$ between users, $q = \frac{3T_m}{T_s}$ where $T_m$ is the pulse duration and $3T_m$ represents the duration of the vulnerability interval (a pulse falling in this interval will effectively create interference). Finally, a binomial law conditional to a Poisson law results in a Poisson law and its parameter is $\frac{Nq}{\pi R^2}$.

The MAI characteristic function is:

$$\varphi_Z(\omega) = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i\omega(\sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \gamma_k \psi_k)} \right], \quad \text{(8)}$$

where $\kappa$ is the number of interferers in the circle with radius $+\infty$. Neglecting the near field for reasons explained in section III-A, we can show (see annex B) that the log-characteristic function can be written:

$$\varphi_Z(\omega) = \frac{\bar{N}q}{R^2} |\omega|^\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{d\phi_\psi}{du} (u) u^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} du$$

$$= \frac{\bar{N}q}{R^2} |\omega|^\frac{\alpha}{2} F, \quad \text{(9)}$$

where $\phi_\psi(\omega)$ is the characteristic function of $\psi(\omega)$ (defined in (6)). The value $F$ is independent of $\omega$ so that $Z$ is a symmetric $\alpha$-stable random variable with parameters $\alpha = 4/\alpha$ and $\sigma = - (\bar{N}q/R^2) F$ (the two remaining parameters are zero). Since $\psi$ has finite moments, the integral to calculate $F$ exists when $\alpha$ is larger than 2, which is the case in most of the situations. This result validates the $\alpha$-stable assumption. The main unrealistic hypothesis was to neglect the near field but Sousa showed in [4] that this aspect has a low impact.

D. Model validity

Channel attenuation is based on hypothesis from section III-A: $\gamma_i \propto d^{-\alpha/2}$ and we take $N_S = 1$. A summary of parameters used in every simulation is presented in table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame duration $T_s$</td>
<td>10ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse duration $T_m$</td>
<td>0.3ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPM delay $\epsilon$</td>
<td>0.3ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_o$</td>
<td>1m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To evaluate $\sigma$, we need to calculate $F$ in (9). It can be analytically obtained when $\omega_p(t)$ is a rectangular pulse that is why the comparison between simulations and theory is made with this pulse shape (although it is not a practical one). The characteristic function of $\psi_k$ (see (6)) is $\phi_\psi(\omega) = \frac{\sin(\omega)}{\omega}$. Then $F$ is given by:

$$F = \frac{2^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} = \frac{2^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$$

Finally, in (5), $P_c$ is calculated using numerical integration. Because $Z$ is an $\alpha$-stable random variable, we do not have an expression of its probability density function. To solve this difficulty and obtain $P_c(Z < x)$, we simulate the random variable $Z$ (see for example [24, p. 27-29]) and use a non parametric estimate of its probability density function. In Figure 3 we represent several situations (different values of the attenuation coefficient $\alpha$, the mean number of users per unit area $\lambda$ and the size of the considered zone $R$). We show a good fit between the semi-analytical BER and the simulated system for the rectangular pulse. However, this fit is not always perfect and some errors can sometimes be noticed. In such cases we adjust the dispersion of the distribution and obtain an accurate fit between the curves. Similar behavior are obtained with other pulse shapes but the dispersion has to be estimated because we do not have an analytical expression for $F$. We do not propose in this paper discussion about the parameter estimation of a stable law but several works on the subjects exist (see for instance recent works in [27]).

For a rectangular shape, the MAI distribution’s parameters are analytically derived and the good fit validates the theoretical study. Similar results are obtained if we increase the number of repetitions. The impact of strong interferers, which generate a heavy tailed distribution, is well captured by the $\alpha$-stable distributions when other distributions with finite second order moments generally fail to do so. For instance, Generalized Gaussian distributions are well fitted for time hopping [28] but does not represent as well the ad hoc configuration [29].

The simulated BER with a near field assumption of 10cm is also depicted in Figure 3 (top-right). This value is much larger than the true near field area if a 60 GHz transmission is considered and the impact is very small although the useful link is only ten times longer.
IV. A NON LINEAR RECEIVER IN MULTIPATH CONDITIONS

A. Receiver strategy.

Classical receivers consider that the received signal is mixed with a Gaussian noise and are not optimal in our situation. In IR-UWB system, Fiorina [28] models the MAI with a generalized Gaussian distribution to derive the maximum likelihood matched filter. Beaulieu [30] assumes that the signal is immersed in a mixture of Laplacian and Gaussian noise. It outperforms the receiver based on the Gaussian assumption. Considering the impulsive nature of the MAI, Erseghe [31] has suggested Gaussian mixtures for the interference to derive the optimal receiver. Performance improves when compared to the Gaussian receiver and the cost of implementation remains weak. This approach is also found for detection when the additive noise is $\alpha$-stable [32]. Tsihrintzis and Nikias in [33] studied the performance of optimal and suboptimal (including the Cauchy) receivers in such a noise. Those receivers are non linear solutions and a linear solution is proposed in [34]. A non parametric rank-based solution is proposed in [35] and suboptimal parametric solutions are presented in [36]. Ambike et al. [37] have also tested a hole puncher and a soft limiter receivers in a mixture of Gaussian and $\alpha$-stable noises. All proposed approaches are compromises between performance and complexity and an overview can be found in [26].

We have chosen to test the non linear Cauchy receiver, meaning the receiver obtained when we consider that a Cauchy noise is added to the information bearing signal. This receiver was found to perform closely to the optimum receiver for a wide range of characteristic exponent $\alpha$ [33]. It was also shown by Friedmann et al. in [38] that the maximum likelihood estimator of a deterministic signal derived for a Cauchy noise achieves good performance when the noise is symmetric $\alpha$-stable, even when $\alpha$ is not equal to one. The definition of the optimal receiver is however a difficult task and is not included in the scope of this paper. Indeed, the noise is not an $\alpha$-stable noise but a mixture of three different noises: the $\alpha$-stable multiple access interference, the Gaussian thermal noise and the multipath interference. The resulting noise distribution is then very difficult to obtain.

Let (as defined in section II) $a_0^{(0)}(j)$ be the source bit from the desired user. We consider that the correlation function $\psi_0$ in (6) is equal to $1$ if $\Delta_0 = 0$ (meaning a perfect synchronization and $a_0^{(0)} = 0$) and to $-1$ if $\Delta_0 = \epsilon$ (meaning a perfect synchronization and $a_0^{(0)} = 1$). For the desired user, the received samples are then, after the correlation receiver: $x_0^{(0)}(j) = d_{ua}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (-1)^{a_0^{(0)}} + n_\alpha(j)$ where index $j$ indicates the repetitions ($j = 1, \ldots, N_S$). Sequence $\{n_\alpha(j)\}_{j=1,\ldots,N_S}$ is a realization of $N_S$ independent, identically distributed $\alpha$-stable random variables. Distance $d_{ua}$ is the useful link length. The optimum (in the maximum likelihood sense) test statistic $\Lambda$ is:

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{N_S} \log \left\{ \frac{f_\alpha x_0^{(0)}(j) - d_{ua}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{f_\alpha x_0^{(0)}(j) + d_{ua}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right\},$$

where $f_\alpha(u)$ is the probability density function of $n_\alpha$ and the sign of $\Lambda$ gives the estimated signal. As already mentioned,

![Fig. 3. Comparison between semi-analytical and simulated BER in different situations with a rectangular pulse. The signal to noise ratio is the ratio between the useful signal power and the thermal noise power at the receiver, not including the multiple access noise.](Image)
the main difficulty is that $f_\alpha(u)$ has not an explicit form for most of $\alpha$ values. Fortunately, $f_\alpha$ is known for $\alpha = 2$, the Gaussian case, and $\alpha = 1$ where $n_\alpha$ is a Cauchy random variable ($f_\alpha(u) = \pi/(\alpha^2 + u^2)$). Our objective is to propose a receiver able to cope with impulsive noise. The Cauchy receiver, resulting from our analysis on the MAI, is then the first solution that comes to mind. By Cauchy receiver, we mean the receiver that employs $\Lambda$ derived from (11) under the assumption that $\alpha = 1$. The Gaussian receiver uses the assumption that $\alpha = 2$.

We have also implemented receivers based on the metric induced by the covariation norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ (see for instance [25, p. 95]). From a practical point of view, this norm is linked to the $L^p$ norm, with $p < \alpha$, by the equality:

$$\|X\|_\alpha = C_\alpha(p)(E|X|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}};$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

the constant $C_\alpha(p)$ depends only on $\alpha$ and $p$ and is given by (see for instance [24, p. 32]):

$$C_\alpha(p) = \left(\frac{\alpha\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(-\frac{p}{2}\right)}{2^{p+1}\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{p}{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

This suggests an empirical estimation of this metric by:

$$\|X - Y\|_\alpha = C_\alpha(p) \left(\frac{1}{N_S}\sum_{j=1}^{N_S}|x_j - y_j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $p < \alpha$. Two ideas lie behind this metric. First, if Euclidean distance is a logical estimation of standard deviation when Gaussian noise is considered, the proposed solution is adapted to SoS distributions since it estimates its scale parameter. Choosing an adapted distance seems then rather logical. The second aspect is that we do not want to give too much weight for the decision to large values because they are mixed with an important noise sample. The Euclidean distance is poorly adapted in that sense and we prefer using the covariation norm. Beaulieu et al. proposed a similar solution in [18] that is an optimal solution for generalized Gaussian interference. We however did not use their estimation procedure for $p$ which is not consistent with our approach. It is based on second and higher order moments which are not defined for stable distributions.

Finally, to get an idea of an optimal solution, we have implemented a genie-aided receiver: in the simulation we extract the exact noise samples and use a kernel type non parametric estimation of the noise distribution (a Gaussian kernel is considered). We then use this distribution to calculate the log-likelihood function. This distribution is calculated on each packet that we have taken rather short (50 source bits repeated 4 times) to avoid too much computation complexity. In future works, we will focus on solutions using some approximate methods of the optimal receiver performance, which represents a challenging problem owing to the $\alpha$-stable nature of the MAI interference process.

B. Simulations

We have considered two scenarios. The first one uses a Gaussian channel so that only Gaussian noise and multiple access interference play a role. The second one includes an important multipath impact. Figure 4 presents the BER as a function of the mean number of users $\lambda$. On the left graph, with no multipath, we clearly see the improvement brought by the Cauchy receiver, the number of errors being reduced by a factor 10. The proposed metric is also an attractive solution when $p$ takes small values. For $p = 0.5$, the proposed metric gives similar results to the Cauchy receiver. The genie-aided receiver exhibits of course better performance. It is not clear how close to this optimal curve we can get.

We have also simulated an ad hoc network situation as described in section II but with a multipath channel. We have chosen the residential model proposed by the IEEE 802.15.4a [39], [40]. The useful link is in Line Of Sight (LOS) while the interfering links can be either LOS or non LOS with probability 0.5. The global power attenuation is $d^{-a}$ and an additional shadowing factor of 0.5 is used for non LOS users. Each object uses the same transmit power. The improvement due to the Cauchy receiver is reduced but still significant. The conclusion on the use of the proposed metric are similar to the previous case. The optimal solution offers a further gain but the much more difficult transmission conditions make the benefit smaller.

An appropriate solution for an indoor ad hoc networks, eventually at 60 GHz, would be to use directive antennas and links with a LOS path. The resulting conditions would then be in between the two considered scenarios, the importance of multipath depending on the antenna directivity and the environment. The benefits resulting from the proposed metric or the Cauchy receiver would then be significant. Taking the impulsive nature of the interference into account is important and the stable model can certainly bring an accurate general mathematical framework [7].

V. Conclusion

In this paper we present a study of multiple access interference in ad hoc networks based on TH-PPM-UWB. We propose a model based on $\alpha$-stable processes. Those distributions are heavy tailed and perfectly capture the interference nature: strong interferers (close to the receiver) are the ones that limit the system performance, but they are rare. Moreover, the time hopping approach further limits their influence. Gaussian distributions or, in general, random variables with finite second order moments do not allow a good representation of these events. On the other hand, the proposed $\alpha$-stable random variables are very well suited for modeling this impulsive noise.

For a single path channel and neglecting the near field, we demonstrate that multiple access interference is a symmetric $\alpha$-stable random variable and derive an analytical expression of the two parameters defining the distribution. The limitation of the received power in the near field has no real impact on our modeling. The multipath do not change the MAI nature but a new evaluation of the parameters is necessary.

Because we have no exact expression of the probability density functions of $\alpha$-stable random variables, an optimal receiver can not be easily implemented. We test receivers for $\alpha = 1$ (Cauchy receiver) and $\alpha = 2$ (Gaussian receiver).
We also propose a receiver based on a metric inspired by the covariation norm, well adapted to $\alpha$-stable processes. We notice a clear improvement of performance with the two proposed strategies, which confirms the heavy tailed nature of the MAI.

Further works have to be done to better integrate the results of this paper in ad hoc networks. Better receivers can certainly be implemented to account for the mixture of different noises and optimal bounds have to be found. Besides, some estimation difficulties will be encountered to determine the distribution parameters. Finally, some works on the channel modeling is also necessary because we can not consider identical models for the desired link, short with a line of sight, and the interfering one, long and possibly without a line of sight.

**APPENDIX A**

**$\alpha$-STABLES DISTRIBUTIONS**

The $\alpha$-stable processes and variables are a direct generalization of Gaussians’ and share many of their familiar properties (see [24], [25] for more details on $\alpha$-stable processes and signal processing). In particular:

- The convolution stability property, which means that the convolution of two stable distributions is also stable. In other words the sum of two independent stable random variables is also a stable one.
- The central limit theorem, which means that every stable random variables may be expressed as a limit, in distribution, of a normalized sum of independent and identically distributed random variables.

Another aspect that makes the importance of these distributions is that they are parametric. Indeed they are fully described by four parameters:

- $\alpha$ is called the characteristic exponent ($0 < \alpha \leq 2$): it measures the thickness of the tail of the distribution. Thus larger is the value of $\alpha$, less likely it is to observe values which are far from the central location.
- $\mu$ is the location parameter ($-\infty < \mu < \infty$): in an observed sample most of the observations are concentrated about its value. It corresponds to the mean for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and to the median for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$
- $\sigma$ is the dispersion parameter ($\sigma > 0$): it has a similar role as the standard deviation in the case of a Gaussian distribution.
- $\beta$ is the index of symmetry ($-1 \leq \beta \leq 1$) which characterizes the dissymmetry of the density function about its central location. When $\beta = 1$ we say that the distribution is totally skewed to the right; it is symmetric if $\beta = 0$.

In this paper we consider symmetric $\alpha$-stable random variables, which means that $\beta = \mu = 0$.

Since their discovery by Paul Levy in 1925, a great amount of knowledge has been accumulated about the theoretical properties of these probability distributions. They have been found to provide useful models in various application fields, especially phenomena with large fluctuations and high variability that are not compatible with the Gaussian models. Except the Gaussian, the Cauchy and the Levy distributions, which are special cases of the stable class, there is no exact expression of the probability density function of an $\alpha$-stable distribution. However its characteristic function is given for $\alpha \neq 1$ by:

$$\Phi(\theta) = \exp \left\{ -\sigma^\alpha |\theta|^\alpha \left( 1 - i\beta \text{sign}(\theta) \tan \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) \right) + i\mu \theta \right\} \quad (15)$$

and for $\alpha = 1$ by:

$$\Phi(\theta) = \exp \left\{ -\sigma |\theta| (1 + i\beta \frac{2}{\pi} \text{sign}(\theta) \ln |\theta|) + i\mu \theta \right\} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\text{sign}(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \theta < 0 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Fig. 4. BER as a function of the mean number of users for different receivers and two different scenarios. The SNR per pulse after the correlation receiver is 10dB. The radius of the area is $R = 30\text{m}$. 


APPENDIX B

THE MAI LOG-CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION

We assume that \( \hat{N} \) (the mean number of interfering links in the circle \( C \) of radius \( R \)) follows a Poisson law of parameter \( \lambda R^2 \). \( \lambda \) is the expected number of interferer per unit area which is linked to the density of the network. We use the demonstration proposed by Sousa [4] determining the log characteristic function of the MAI.

Knowing the number of active links \( N \) in \( C \), the number of interfering pulses \( \kappa_R \) is a binomial law \( B(N, q) \). \( q \) is the probability that one interfering pulse falls into the integration interval at the receiver. The number of interfering pulses is a Poisson law of parameter \( \lambda R^2 q \).

\[
P(\kappa_R = i) = \frac{e^{-\lambda R^2} (\lambda R^2)^i}{i!}.
\]  

Using (6) and (18), the MAI characteristic function \( \psi_Z(\omega) = \mathbb{E}[e^{j\omega Z}] \) can be written:

\[
\psi_Z(\omega) = \mathbb{E}
\left[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega^i \mathbb{P}(\kappa_R = i) \mathbb{E}[e^{j\omega \Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i \psi_i}]
\right],
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the number of interferers in the circle with radius +\( \infty \) (to model our system, we make \( R \) tends towards infinity).

We have \( \mathbb{P}(\kappa_R = i) = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\kappa_R = i) \). Since \( \psi_i \) and \( \gamma_i \) are independent, identically distributed and independent of \( \kappa \), we can write:

\[
\phi_Z(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\kappa_R = i) . \mathbb{E}
\left[
\left(e^{j\omega \Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i \psi_i}\right)^i
\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lim_{R \to +\infty} \left( \frac{e^{-\lambda R^2} (\lambda R^2)^i}{i!} \right) \mathbb{E}
\left[
\left(e^{j\omega \Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i \psi_i}\right)^i
\right].
\]

Let \( \omega > 0 \); we take the log-characteristic function:

\[
\varphi_Z(\omega) = \log(\phi_Z(\omega)) = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \lambda q R^2 \left( \mathbb{E}
\left[
\left(e^{j\omega \psi}\right)^i
\right]
- 1 \right).
\]  

The expectation is taken over the two random variables \( \gamma \) and \( \psi \) and can be calculated using (7):

\[
\mathbb{E}
\left[
\left(e^{j\omega \psi}\right)^i
\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(e^{j\omega \psi}\right)^i f_\gamma(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_\psi(x) dx.
\]

Integrating (22) by parts, using it in (21) and making a change of variables \( u = \omega x \) we obtain:

\[
\varphi_Z(\omega) = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \lambda q R^2 \left( \phi_\psi(\omega R^{-\frac{2}{q}}) + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\phi_\psi}{du}(u) \left( \frac{u}{\omega} \right)^{-\frac{2}{q}} du - 1 \right).
\]  

Since \( \psi \) is a random variable with mean 0 and a finite variance \( \sigma_\psi^2 \), we can give the Taylor expansion of \( \phi_\psi(x) \), for \( x \) near 0, to get the approximations:

\[
\phi_\psi(x) = 1 - \frac{\sigma_\psi^2}{2} x^2 + o(x^2)
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\phi_\psi}{dx}(x) = -\sigma_\psi^2 x + o(x).
\]

Consequently,

\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \lambda q R^2 \left( \phi_\psi(\omega R^{-\frac{2}{q}}) - 1 \right) = 0
\]

and the approximation of \( \frac{d\phi_\psi}{du} \) allows to justify that only the other term in (23) remains. We can further develop (23):

\[
\varphi_Z(\omega) = \lambda q \pi |\omega|^{\frac{2}{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\phi_\psi}{du}(u) u^{-\frac{2}{q}} du
\]

Since \( \psi \) is a real centered symmetric random variable, the characteristic function \( \phi_\psi \) is an even function. If now \( \omega \) is negative then by a similar calculation, we find that:

\[
\varphi_Z(\omega) = \lambda q \pi |\omega|^{\frac{2}{q}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\phi_\psi}{du}(u) u^{-\frac{2}{q}} du
\]

Finally the log-characteristic function of the random variable \( Z \) is given by equation (9) that we recall here:

\[
\varphi_Z(\omega) = \lambda q \pi |\omega|^{\frac{2}{q}} F, \quad F = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{d\phi_\psi}{du}(u) u^{-\frac{2}{q}} du.
\]

This gives the characteristic function of the random variable \( Z \):

\[
\phi_Z(\omega) = e^{-\sigma |\omega|^\alpha}.
\]

Then, the MAI distribution is an \( \alpha \)-stable distribution with \( \alpha = \frac{2}{q} \) and \( \sigma = -\lambda q F \).
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